<u>Minutes</u>

<u>Minutes</u>		AL Protection		
Corporate Services and Partnerships Policy Overview Committee Tuesday, 30 April 2013 Meeting held at Committee Room 5 - Civic Centre, High Street, Uxbridge UB8 1UW				
	Members Present: Councillors Richard Lewis (Chairman), Lindsay Bliss, Beulah East, Neil Fyfe, Raymond Graham, Carol Melvin, Richard Mills and Michael White.			
	Officers: Liz Jones (Community Safety and CCTV Manager) and Khalid A (Democratic Services Manager).	hmed		
	Witnesses: PC Allyson Keith (Safer Schools - Metropolitan Police) and PC D (Metropolitan Police).	ave Tennyson		
46.	MINUTES OF THE MEETING HELD ON 28 MARCH 2013 Agreed as an accurate record.			
47.	EXCLUSION OF THE PRESS AND PUBLIC It was agreed that all items of business would be considered in p	ublic.		
48.	MAJOR REVIEW - CRIME PREVENTION RESOURCES PROVIDED FOR HILLINGDON POLICE BY THE LONDON BOROUGH OF HILLINGDON	Action:		
	Role Of Safer Schools Police Officers			
	PC Allyson Keith attended the meeting and provided Members with details of the role of Safer Schools Police Officers.			
	There were 9 police officers in total with one each allocated to the following groups of schools:-			
	Hillingdon Tuition Centre, Chantry School, Harefield Academy, The Douay Martyrs School.			
	 Queensmead School, Vyners School, Bishop Ramsey C of E Secondary School, Ruislip High School. Northwood High School, Haydon School. Swakleys School, Abbotsfield School, Hillingdon Manor School. Rosedale College, Parkside College, Hewins College. Harlington Community School. 			
	 Stockley Academy, Bishopshalt School. Uxbridge High School, Meadow High School. Barnhill Community High School, Guru Nanak Sikh 			

Secondary School.	Action:
Generally each officer was deployed from 9.00am till 5.00pm every school day to ensure each school was problem free. Most problems occurred outside of school hours, generally after school, and dependent on the level of the problem, teams of officers could be deployed to incidents.	
During school hours, officers patrolled schools, ensuring the vicinity of schools were problem free. Outside of school term times the officers became a Borough resource and were deployed accordingly.	
Liaison took place with Transport Police and schools to ensure good communication took place to ensure joined up working.	
Members were informed that the Police had a limited role inside of schools, as general school discipline was the responsibility of teaching staff. However, the Police did intervene if offences occurred, and dependent on the offence, cautions were issued to offenders.	
The Police assisted with the Truancy patrols and were used as a deterrent to truancy.	
Members were informed that the Safer Schools Police Officers scheme began in Hillingdon in 2005 and although all 22 Secondary schools in the Borough were covered, there were high priority schools which received greater focus and attention.	
Crime Prevention Bus	
PC Dave Tennyson attended the meeting and provided details on the Crime Prevention Bus.	
Members were informed that the Crime Prevention Bus was purchased in 2003 at a purchase cost of around £34,000.	
The resource was operated by and liveried for the "Hillingdon Crime Prevention Panel" which was a sub-group of Hillingdon Community and Police Consultative Group. The Panel had now wound up as crime prevention was now effectively run by the Council and Police jointly under the Safer Hillingdon Partnership.	
The Council had taken over ownership of the vehicle and was the registered keeper. Road tax and maintenance were covered under the Council's fleet management arrangements at a cost of about £1,000 per year.	
Previous to the last 12 months, the vehicle was heavily used by	

Teams for public ev and re-assurance. H had dropped sign Neighbourhood Team Members noted that was likely to be usef be introduced in 2013 Although the vehicle were likely to rise in	it would be valuable to assess whether it ul under the new Local Policing Model to	Action:
Prevention Bus and promoted to enable Borough. Reference resource at Council a	details on the past usage of the Crime d agreed that the resource should be greater usage for the community of the was made to the possibility of using the and community events and that volunteers riving licence could be asked to come he use of the vehicle.	PC Dave Tennyson
London Borough of	Hillingdon CCTV Service	
	unity Safety and CCTV Manager attended wided the review with information on the ce.	
which were managed housing property, we lane enforcement, us	CCTV cameras throughout the Borough d by the Council. These were located on ere used as part of public safety and bus sed in car parks, around parks and open Civic Centre and around the Manor Farm	
Each set of camer service areas.	as were managed by different Council	
cameras, recording to concern was expre monitored from 5.0	med that with regard to the public safety ook place 24 hours a day. However, some essed that these cameras were only 00pm till 2.00am which would have umber of prosecutions the Council made.	
for fly tipping hotspot that in 2009 the Co prosecutions but sind	e to the 11 cameras which were coverted s in the Borough. Members were informed buncil managed to obtain 50 fly tipping ce the introduction of the late afternoon / bonitoring, there had been no prosecutions.	
Members were adv	ised that a re-introduction of 24 hour	

monitoring would have staff and cost implications.	Action:
In response to a question, Members were informed that Fly Tipping had to be prosecuted through the Courts (s.33 Environmental Protections Agency (EPA) 1990 offences do not have a fixed penalty notice option whereas littering under s.87 EPA does)	
The Courts could fine the defendant but this income did not come to the Council, it was retained by HM Courts Service. The Council only received an amount up to, and not more than, its actual costs in bringing the prosecution to court.	
Members asked that officers provide statistics on the calls which were received to the CCTV room between monitoring hours of 5.00pm to 2.00am.	Liz Jones
The Committee was informed that for 2013/14 there was a major CCTV project with the conversion of more cameras from analogue to digital. This would enable the transmission of images from cameras to local libraries using wireless technology. Images could then be stored on library internet servers and images would then be retrieved from the library server to the CCTV room over the existing internet connection.	
The benefits of digital conversion would be:	
 Reduction of revenue costs as digital cameras would cost around £315 per annum for each camera whereas for analogue fibre rental for existing cameras, the cost was currently £800 per camera. Business continuity would be improved. Flexible working location for staff. 	
• There could be the possibility of sharing access of images with the Police. Further investigation would be needed in terms of the Data Protection implications and the additional costs which would result.	
Members also raised the possibility of investigating whether other organisations such as The Chime Shopping Centre could also access these digital images which would reduce costs and increase further the prevention of crime.	
The Committee was informed that there would also be a procurement exercise to obtain one major maintenance contract for all 700 CCTV cameras which would reduce costs.	
Reference was made to the 11 mobile CCTV cameras which were managed by the Community Safety Team. Most of these were located around the Borough as a result of Police information on anti social behaviour hotspots and were positioned for a period of between 8 and 12 weeks at a time.	

	Members were asked to contact the Community Safety Team for any suggested areas within their wards which they thought warranted the use of these mobile cameras. The Community Safety and CCTV Manager would provide details of the locations of all the CCTV cameras within the Borough. Prior to the next meeting of the Committee, Members would be invited to take a look at the CCTV control room within the Civic Centre.	Action: Liz Jones
	Older Peoples Burglar Alarms Members were provided with short presentation on the scheme which summarised the information which Members had received at their last meeting. Witnesses were thanked for the information they had provided	
	for the review. RESOLVED – 1. That information received from the witnesses be noted	
	and form part of the evidence for the review.2. That officers be asked to undertake the actions outlined above and relevant witnesses be invited to the next meeting of the Committee.	
49.	WORK PROGRAMME Noted.	
50.	CABINET FORWARD PLAN Noted.	
	Meeting commenced at 7.30pm and closed at 9.30pm Next meeting: 10 June 2013 at 7.30pm	

These are the minutes of the above meeting. For more information on any of the resolutions please contact Khalid Ahmed on 01895 250833. These minutes are circulated to Councillors, Officers, the Press and Members of the Public.